

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION N
09/990,252	11/21/2001	Leonid B. Galperin	106172	2148
	590 12/10/2004		EXAMINER	
JOHN G TOLOMEI, PATENT DEPARTMENT UOP LLC			GRIFFIN, WALTER DEAN	
25 EAST ALGONQUIN ROAD		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
P O BOX 5017 DES PLAINES, IL 60017-5017			1764	
			DATE MAII ED: 12/10/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	A unticont(a)	1/				
		Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary	09/990,252	GALPERIN ET AL.					
i omce Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	,				
The MAILING DATE AND	Walter D. Griffin	1764					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08 O</u>	ctoher 2004	* · · · · ·					
	action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims	•	·					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6) Claim(s) 1-7 is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner							
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
·	oriarity under 25 LLC Q S 440/->	(-I) (O					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date							
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:							

Application/Control Number: 09/990,252

Art Unit: 1764

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

The rejection under 35 USC 103 as described in the paper mailed on April 8, 2004 has been withdrawn in view of the amendment filed on October 8, 2004. The zeolite L of Mohr is not used for the same processes as disclosed by Uppal. Therefore, there would be no suggestion to modify the catalyst of Uppal by including the zeolite L of Mohr.

A new rejection follows.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Huang et al. (US 5,756,414).

The Huang reference discloses a process in which a catalyst comprising zeolite L is regenerated to remove coke therefrom. The regeneration comprises contacting the catalyst that is absent a halogen-containing compound with ozone at regeneration conditions. See column 6, lines 29-53 and column 13, lines 17-24

Application/Control Number: 09/990,252

Art Unit: 1764

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the article by Carlos Querini titled "Isobutane/butene alkylation: regeneration of solid acid catalysts" (hereinafter referred to a "Querini") in view of Wu et al. (US 5,330,736).

The Querini reference discloses a process for regenerating a solid alkylation catalyst. The catalyst comprises a zeolite component and a metal component such as platinum and is absent a halogen compound. The regeneration process, which removes coke from the catalyst, comprises contacting the catalyst with an ozone-containing gas (approximately 1% ozone in air) at

Application/Control Number: 09/990,252

Art Unit: 1764

regeneration conditions. These conditions include a temperature of 125°C. See sections 2.1, 2.4, 3.4, and 4.

The Querini reference does not disclose that the catalyst comprises zeolite L, does not disclose the partial pressure of the ozone as in claim 4, and does not disclose the gas hourly space velocity as in claim 7.

The Wu reference discloses that zeolite L catalysts can be used in hydrocarbon conversion processes such as alkylation. See column 7, lines 42-60.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the process of Querini by regenerating a zeolite L catalyst because such as catalyst is effective for alkylation as disclosed by Wu and has properties similar to those of the zeolites disclosed by Querini and would therefore be expected to be effectively regenerated in the Querini process.

It also would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the process of Querini by utilizing pressure and space velocity conditions as claimed because one would adjust all operating conditions including these to obtain an efficient regeneration process.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The prior art not relied upon discloses regeneration processes.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Walter D. Griffin whose telephone number is (571) 272-1447.

Art Unit: 1764

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 6:30 to 4:00 with alternate Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Glenn Caldarola can be reached on (571) 272-1444. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Walter D. Griffin Primary Examiner Art Unit 1764

WG December 6, 2004